

SLAYCORP LLC

Validation & Verification Summary

GOVERNED EXECUTION APPROACH

SlayCorp structures all program delivery through a governed cycle where every task is scoped, contracted, executed, and independently verified before closure. This is not a quality assurance overlay — it is the execution model itself. Governance is embedded into delivery, not applied after the fact.

ROLE SEPARATION

Delivery roles are structurally separated to prevent self-certification and ensure independent accountability at every stage:

- **Specification Authority** — Defines scope, acceptance criteria, and authority boundaries. Retains final approval at all stages.
- **Execution Agent** — Implements work within the contracted scope. Cannot modify scope, governance rules, or acceptance criteria.
- **Verification Agent** — Independently reviews execution output against acceptance criteria. Structurally separate from the execution agent — never the same entity that produced the work.

This separation is enforced by system design, not by convention or policy alone. The architecture prevents an executor from self-certifying its own output.

INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION AT EVERY STAGE

Every delivery cycle includes a mandatory verification phase where output is evaluated against the original acceptance criteria by an independent reviewer. Verification is bounded and structured:

- **Criteria-based review** — each deliverable is checked against specific, measurable acceptance criteria defined before execution began
- **Independent reviewer** — verification is performed by an entity with no role in producing the deliverable
- **Pass/fail determination** — verification produces a clear disposition; partial passes are not accepted
- **Traceable artifacts** — every verification produces a documented record of what was checked, what passed, and what failed

REPEATABLE REVIEW STRUCTURE

The review process follows a consistent structure across all delivery cycles regardless of domain, complexity, or program size. Each review includes: scope confirmation against the original contract, criterion-by-criterion evaluation with documented evidence, deficiency identification with corrective action requirements, and a final disposition (accepted, retry required, or escalated). This consistency eliminates reviewer discretion as a variable and ensures that quality standards are applied uniformly.

DELIVERY RECORD

36	0	100%
Consecutive Governed Delivery Cycles	Rework Events Required	First-Pass Acceptance Rate

These outcomes reflect the cumulative effect of governed execution: clear scope definition, bounded work assignments, and independent verification at every stage. The delivery record is maintained as an auditable system

of record.